

Development of novel chromatographic and mass spectrometric techniques for characterizations of Bispecific antibodies (BsAbs) and ADCs

<u>Fengfei Ma</u>¹, Benqian Wei¹, Carl Sanchez³, James Song³, Daniela Tomazela², Laurence Fayadat-Dilman², Mohammad Ahmed Al-Sayah¹

¹ Analytical Research and Development, Merck & Co., Inc., Rahway, NJ, USA.

² Discovery Biologics, Merck & Co., Inc., Rahway, NJ, USA.

³ R&D Department, Phenomenex

(09/12/2024)

Background – Bispecific antibody (BsAb)

TCE	Target Ag	Indication(s)	FDA approved	Company	Format
BLINCYTO (Blinatumomab)	CD19	R/R B-ALL; B-ALL MRD	2014	Amgen	BITE
KIMMTRAK (Tebentafusp)	Gp100	Uveal melanoma	2022	Immunocore/AZ	ImmTAC
TECVAYLI (Teclistamab)	BCMA	R/R MM	2022	Janssen/Genmab	DuoBody (Fab/Fab)
LUNSUMYO (Mosunetuzumab)	CD20	R/R folliclular lymphoma	2022	Roche/Genentech	KiH (Fab/Fab)
EPKINLY (epcoritamab)	CD20	R/R DLBCL	2023	Janssen/Genmab	DuoBody (Fab/Fab)
TALVEY (talquetamab)	GPRC5D	MM	2023	Janssen/Genmab	DuoBody (Fab/Fab)
ELREXFIO (elranatamab)	BCMA	R/R MM	2023	Pfizer/Genmab	DuoBody (Fab/Fab)
COLUMVI (glofitamab)	CD20	R/R DLBCL/LBCL	2023	Roche/Genentech	KiH (2:1 Fab/Fab) —
IMDELTTRA (tarlatamab)	DLL3	R/R ES-SCLC following Pt- based chemo	2024	Amgen	HLE-BITE
>60 TCFs at different stages of clin					

>60 TCEs at different stages of clinical development



Mis-paired species are a Critical Quality Attribute (CQA) that need to be characterized

Challenges:

- High similarity between mispairing impurities and the desired BsAb
- Ion suppression by highly abundant BsAb species in RPLC ٠

https://www.cytivalifesciences.com/en/us/Solutions/Bioprocessing/Knowledge-center/Purifying-bispecific-antibodies-in-a-single-step

Workflow for BsAb impurities characterizations



Ma, Fengfei, et al. "Hyphenation of strong cation exchange chromatography to native mass spectrometry for high throughput online characterization of charge heterogeneity of therapeutic monoclonal antibodies." MAbs. Vol. 12. No. 1. Taylor & Francis, 2020.

Automated MS-based Characterization of Native Proteins





• Baseline resolution can be achieved, but coelution may affect quantitation.

Native SCX-MS provides superior chromatographic separation for Fab-ScFv-Fc



Comparison of Native SEC-MS and RPLC-MS Fab-Fab-Fc impurities separation



Quantitation by RPLC is still challenging due to coelution of multiple species.

Native SCX-MS provides superior chromatographic separation for Fab-Fab-Fc



8

Native SCX-MS provides superior chromatographic separation for Fab-Fab-Fc



Reliable quantitation can be achieved by SCX

Species	Theoretical Mass (Da)	Observed	Peak area (UV)	Percentage			CESDS (N	NR)	
bsAb (L1-H1-				(70)		LMW	Main Peak	нмм	1
L2-H2)	148832	148833	1371779	65.09		5.8	94.2	0	
L1-H1-L1-H2	149540	149540	538371	25.54	_	H,	1	H2	
L1-H2-L2-H2	148744	148749	60434	2.87		L1			I
L1-H2-L1-H2	149452	149455	15459	0.73					
L2-H2/ L2-H2-L2-H2	74018/ 148036	74016/ 148033	35690	1.69					
L1-H1/ L1-H1-L1-H1	74814/ 149628	74814/ 149618	69478	3.30		5.77			
L1-H2	74726	74729	16404	0.78					

- Four different methods including SEC, RPLC, HIC and SCX have been assessed and compared to separate bispecific antibodies and their mis-pairing impurities.
- With the increase of the sample complexity, SCX is established to be the method with the highest separation efficiency.
- Replacing formic acid (FA) with difluoroacetic acid (DFA) as the ion-pairing agent for RPLC significantly improves its separation efficiency.
- SCX achieves successful quantitation of all samples, and the results are comparable to traditional CESDS method.
- Selection of unique techniques can be applied more for other modalities such as mAbs, ADCs, and fusion proteins.

Background – ADC



Hydrophobic interaction chromatography (HIC)

- Gold standard for drug distribution of ADCs.
- High salt buffer and low volatility (incompatible with MS).
- The salt reduces the solvation of sample so that hydrophobic region become exposed and adsorbed by media.

Nondenaturing separation with decreasing salt concentration



Novel native Reversed-Phase Liquid Chromatography (nRPLC)

- LCMS characterization of ADCs.
- > Low salt + IPA buffer and **MS friendly**.
 - Lower hydrophobicity of bonded phase.
 - Nondenaturing separation

Why is it important to measure Drug to Antibody Ratio (DAR)?

- Low DAR value may indicate decreased efficacy
- Relatively high DAR value may negatively impact safety

Strop, Pavel, et al. "Site-specific conjugation improves therapeutic index of antibody drug conjugates with high drug loading." Nature biotechnology 33.7 (2015): 694-696.

Workflow for native Reversed-Phase Liquid Chromatography (nRPLC)-MS



Online separation and characterization of interchain linked ADC mimic (V1 column)



Intensity

ARTICLE

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-19498-y OPEN

Co-administered antibody improves penetration of antibody-dye conjugate into human cancers with implications for antibody-drug conjugates

Guolan Lu⊚^{1,7}, Naoki Nishio⊚^{1,2,7}, Nynke S. van den Berg⊚¹, Brock A. Martin⊚³, Shayan Fakurnejad¹, Stan van Keulen¹, Alexander D. Colevas⁴, Greg M. Thurber⊚^{5,6} & Eben L. Rosenthal ⊚^{1⊠}

Poor tissue penetration remains a major challenge for antibody-based therapeutics of solid tumors, but proper dosing can improve the tissue penetration and thus therapeutic efficacy of these biologics. Due to dose-limiting toxicity of the small molecule payload, antibody-drug conjugates (ADCs) are administered at a much lower dose than their parent antibodies, which further reduces tissue penetration. We conducted an early-phase clinical trial (NCT02415881) and previously reported the safety of an antibody-dye conjugate (panitumumab-IRDye800CW) as primary outcome. Here, we report a retrospective exploratory analysis of the trial to evaluate whether co-administration of an unconjugated antibody could improve the intratumoral distribution of the antibody-dye conjugate, this study demonstrates improved microscopic antibody distribution without increasing uptake (toxicity) in healthy tissue when co-administration dosing strategy to improve the tumor penetration of ADCs.

Antibody Co-Administration Can Improve Systemic and Local Distribution of Antibody-Drug Conjugates to Increase *In Vivo* Efficacy



Jose F. Ponte¹, Leanne Lanieri¹, Eshita Khera², Rassol Laleau¹, Olga Ab¹, Christopher Espelin¹, Neeraj Kohli¹, Bahar Matin¹, Yulius Setiady¹, Michael L. Miller¹, Thomas A. Keating¹, Ravi Chari¹, Jan Pinkas¹, Richard Gregory¹, and Greg M. Thurber^{2,3}

ABSTRACT

Several antibody–drug conjugates (ADC) showing strong clinical responses in solid tumors target high expression antigens (HER2, TROP2, Nectin-4, and folate receptor alpha/FR α). Highly expressed tumor antigens often have significant low-level expression in normal tissues, resulting in the potential for target–mediated drug disposition (TMDD) and increased clearance. However, ADCs often do not cross-react with normal tissue in animal models used to test efficacy (typically mice), and the impact of ADC binding to normal tissue antigens on tumor response remains unclear. An antibody that cross-reacts with human and murine FR α was generated and tested in an animal model where the antibody/ADC bind both human tumor FR α and mouse FR α in normal tissue. Previous work has demonstrated that a "carrier" dose of unconjugated antibody can improve the tumor penetration of ADCs with

high expression target-antigens. A carrier dose was employed to study the impact on cross-reactive ADC clearance, distribution, and efficacy. Co-administration of unconjugated anti-FR α antibody with the ADC-improved efficacy, even in low expression models where co-administration normally lowers efficacy. By reducing target-antigen-mediated clearance in normal tissue, the coadministered antibody increased systemic exposure, improved tumor tissue penetration, reduced target-antigen-mediated uptake in normal tissue, and increased ADC efficacy. However, payload potency and tumor antigen saturation are also critical to efficacy, as shown with reduced efficacy using too high of a carrier dose. The judicious use of higher antibody doses, either through lower DAR or carrier doses, can improve the therapeutic window by increasing efficacy while lowering target-mediated toxicity in normal tissue.

Check for updates



Online separation and characterization of interchain linked internal ADC (V2 column)







nRPLC-MS analysis provides comparable performance to regular HIC method



Online separation and characterization of site-specific ADC (V1 column)



- Successful establishment of native RPLC-MS method for ADC characterization
- Effective separation of ADC with different DAR species (DAR0-8)
- Comparable results with regular HIC profile.
- Applicable for analysis of both interchain linked and site-specific conjugations
- Positional isomers with different conjugation linkages (conjugation in either the hinge region or between heavy chain and light chain) can be chromatographically resolved and verified



Analytical R&D

Jenna Leschek Alexey Makarov Jennifer Zhang Yun Yang Xiangfeng Niu **Thomas Niedringhaus** Gregory F. Pirrone Hongxia Wang Hillary Schuessler **Rodell Barrientos** Erik Regalado

Discovery Chemistry Christian Morales Kanaka Hettiarachchi Mike Seganish **Discovery Biologics** Mehabaw Derebe Esther Kofman Laurence Fayadat-Dilman Phenomenex James Song Carl Sanchez

<u>Genedata</u> Can Cui Aude Tartiere Maurizio Bronzetti





Thank you

Copyright © 2024 Merck & Co., Inc., Rahway, NJ, USA and its affiliates. All rights reserved.

• Back up

Method development – SEC and SCX





SEC method Column: Waters BEH200 SEC 4.6 × 300 mm, 200 Å, 1.7 μm

Time (min)	Flow rate (ml/min)	%A (50mM AA)	
0	0.2	100	
24	0.2	100	

Time (min)	Flow rate (ml/min)	%A (20mM AA with acetic acid)	%B (140mM AA, 10mM AB)
0	0.2	100	0
2	0.2	100	0
13.5	0.2	0	100
16	0.2	0	100
16.5	0.2	100	0
22	0.2	100	0

SCX method Column: Thermo MABPac SCX-10 RS 2.1 mm × 50 mm, 5 μm

Ma, F., Raofi, F., Bailly, M., Fayadat-Dilman, L., Tomazela, D. (2020). mAbs. 12(1)

Method development – RPLC and HIC



HIC method Column: Thermo MABPac HIC-10 4.6 x 100mm, 1000 Å, 5 μm

RPLC method Column: Waters Bioresolve mAb 2.1 x 50mm, 450 Å, 2.7 μm

	Time (min)	Flow rate (ml/min)	%A (Water with 0.1% DFA)	%B (50% ACN/50% IPA with 0.1% DFA)
ers	0	0.45	80	20
Ab	2	0.45	80	20
1 50	8	0.45	67	33
	10.5	0.45	57	43
	17.5	0.45	20	80
	19.5	0.45	20	80
	20	0.45	80	20

Time (min)	Flow rate (ml/min)	%A (1.5M (NH ₄) ₂ SO ₄ with 50mM NaPO ₄)	%B (50 mM NaPO₄)	
0	0.5	90	10	
16	0.5	0	100	
20	0.5	0	100	
21	0.5	90	10	
31	0.5	90	10	

